2016-2017 Assessment Cycle UC_General Studies BGS

Mission (due 1/20/17)

University Mission

The University of Louisiana at Lafayette offers an exceptional education informed by diverse worldviews grounded in tradition, heritage, and culture. We develop leaders and innovators who advance knowledge, cultivate aesthetic sensibility, and improve the human condition.

University Values

We strive to create a community of leaders and innovators in an environment that fosters a desire to advance and disseminate knowledge. We support the mission of the university by actualizing our core values of equity, integrity, intellectual curiosity, creativity, tradition, transparency, respect, collaboration, pluralism, and sustainability.

University Vision

We strive to be included in the top 25% of our peer institutions by 2020, improving our national and international status and recognition.

College / Department / Program Mission

College Mission

Provide the college mission in the space provided. If none is available, write "None Available in 2016-2017."

MISSION – University College provides an academic environment to facilitate the matriculation of a diverse population of intellectually-capable undergraduate students wishing to pursue a unique and coherent interdisciplinary program of study in an area in which there does not exist an established curriculum; who initially selected a major for which they lack the interest or preparation necessary for success, but possess a specific and attainable career development plan; or who are transitioning into other colleges and pursuing the academic requirements necessary for admission into their preferred major. In meeting the needs of these students, University College promotes retention at the University of Louisiana at Lafayette, collaborates with other colleges to elevate to the collective quality of campus learning, and enables and empowers students to achieve success in their chosen field of graduate study and/or occupation. Indeed, a distinguishing characteristic of the college is its program of intensive developmental initiatives geared toward enhancing student accomplishment.

VISION – To become a college of choice for incoming freshmen desiring to pursue an individualistic multidisciplinary curriculum founded in a strong core of liberal education that imbues students with broad knowledge, transferable skills, and a strong sense of civic duty.

Department / Program Mission

Provide the department / program mission in the space provided. If none is available, write "None

Available in 2016-2017".

MISSION – University College provides an academic environment to facilitate the matriculation of a diverse population of intellectually-capable undergraduate students wishing to pursue a unique and coherent interdisciplinary program of study in an area in which there does not exist an established curriculum; who initially selected a major for which they lack the interest or preparation necessary for success, but possess a specific and attainable career development plan; or who are transitioning into other colleges and pursuing the academic requirements necessary for admission into their preferred major. In meeting the needs of these students, University College promotes retention at the University of Louisiana at Lafayette, collaborates with other colleges to elevate to the collective quality of campus learning, and enables and empowers students to achieve success in their chosen field of graduate study and/or occupation. Indeed, a distinguishing characteristic of the college is its program of intensive developmental initiatives geared toward enhancing student accomplishment.

VISION – To become a college of choice for incoming freshmen desiring to pursue an individualistic multidisciplinary curriculum founded in a strong core of liberal education that imbues students with broad knowledge, transferrable skills, and a strong sense of civic duty.

Assessment Plan (due 1/20/17)

Assessment List (Goals / Objectives, Assessment Measures and Criteria for Success)

Assessment List

Goal/Objective	To skillfully express ideas and information.				
Legends	SLO - Student Learning Outcome/Objective (academic units);				
Standards/Out comes					
	Identifier		Description		
	Student SI 1 Recruit, retain, and graduate outstanding students (undergraduate and graduate; traditional and nontraditional; transfer and returning adults).				
Assessment Measures	Assess	Crite	rion	Attachments	
	ment Measure	Onto		Accomments	
Direct - Written Assignm ent		Students in University College are required to successfully complete an advanced writing course in order to assure their ability to skillfully express ideas and information. As a measure of written expression of ideas		Writing_Assessment_Evaluation_Form _2013_2014.doc	

and information, graduating seniors are required to write an essay as part of the regular graduation checkout procedure in the college. Directions for the essay are as follows: Write a 500word essay describing your journey in college. How would you describe your experiences in University College? Did you find that your experiences in University College were unique and how so? What suggestions do you have for improvement? Please give specific examples of how we helped you. Please give specific examples of how we can improve our services. What are your plans and goals for after graduation? How has University College helped you to identify those goals? How did we help you prepare for success in your chosen profession? Printed essays are submitted during the graduation checkout advising appointment, and are uploaded to the University College graduation checkout Moodle course. Essays are scored using the collegecreated Writing Assessment Evaluation rubric which measures grammar, structure, essay

	organization, overall content, and critical	
	thinking. This criterion will be met when at least 75% of graduating seniors submit essays judged as "Exemplary," "Meets," or "Exceeds" Expectations.	
Director	Post College are required to	Writing_Assessment_Evaluation_Form _2013_2014.doc

content, and critical thinking. Graduation essays are required as part of the regular graduation checkout procedure. Directions for the essay are as follows: Write a 500word essay describing your journey in college. How would you describe your experiences in University College? Did you find that your experiences in University College were unique and how so? What suggestions do you have for improvement? Please give specific examples of how we helped you. Please give specific examples of how we can improve our services. What are your plans and goals for after graduation? How has University College helped you to identify those goals? How did we help you prepare for success in your chosen profession? Essays are scored using the college-created Writing Assessment Evaluation rubric which measures grammar, structure, essay organization, overall content, and critical thinking. Upon graduation, writing assessment scores of students who have completed both the entrance essay and the graduation essay will be compared in

	order to measure improvement, and thereby determine the effectiveness of the advanced writing course requirement. Effectiveness of this requirement will be determined to have been met when 75% of graduating students have improved their scores.	
Indirect - Exit Interview s	Oral expression of ideas is measured by comparing scores from the oral assessment completed during student's initial entrance and their final exit meetings. The oral assessment was adapted from The Conversational Skills Rating Scale: An Instructional Assessment of Interpersonal Competence by Brian H. Spitzberg (1995), and from the Carnegie Mellon, Tepper School of Business, Center for Business Communication, Communication Audit: Listening Effectively by Thomas Hadjuk (2009). The oral assessment measures both verbal and nonverbal indicators, such as: speaking rate, speaking fluency, vocal confidence, articulation, vocal variety, volume, asking of questions, speaking about self, personal opinion expression, maintenance of topics and follow-up	Oral_Assessment_Training_Guide.pdf Oral_Assessment_Training_Guide.pdf

comments, interruption of speaking turns, making smooth transitions between listening and responding roles, posture, lean toward the other person, shaking or nervous twitches, unmotivated movements, facial expressiveness, use of gestures to emphasize what is being said, smiling and/or laughing, use of eye contact, nodding of head in response to statements, side conversations with others, and gestures that suggest boredom. To assure consistency, raters follow the University College Oral Assessment Guide. which is also adapted from the work of Spitzberg (1995) and Hadjuk (2009). Students whose overall entrance scores fall within the "Inadequate" range on the oral assessment, are encouraged to complete Communication courses in order to improve their oral expression. Improvement is measured by comparing the entrance and exit scores. This criterion is met when 75% of students' scores increase from "Inadequate" to "Adequate," "Good," or "Excellent."

Goal/Objective	To use technology to organize and present ideas and information			
Legends	SLO - Student Learning Outcome/Objective (academic units);			
Standards/Outcomes				
	Identifier	Description		
	Student SI.Student SI 1	Recruit, retain, and graduate outstanding students (undergraduate and graduate; traditional and nontraditional; transfer and returning adults).		
Assessment Measures				
Wedsures	Assessment Measure	Criterion	Attachments	
	Direct - Written Assignment	In order to assure proficiency in the use of technology, students in University College are required to successfully complete at least one course in the use of computers. As a measure of success, graduating seniors are required to use a word processing program to type an essay as part of the regular graduation checkout procedure in the College. Printed essays are submitted during the graduation checkout advising appointment, and are also uploaded to Moodle, the University's course management system. This criterion will be met when at least 75% of graduating seniors successfully upload their essay to Moodle, and also print and submit a copy of their essay for review.		
	Direct - Written Assignment	In order to assure proficiency in the use of technology, students in University College are required to successfully complete at least one course in the use of computers. As a measure of success, graduating seniors are required to complete a college-generated Senior Exit Survey on Moodle, the University's course management system. The Senior Exit		

Survey is a questionnaire used to gather data regarding student career plans, and to assess student perception of the quality of their General Studies program, and of its usefulness in preparing them for life after graduation. When at least 90% of graduating seniors successfully complete the Senior Exit Survey on Moodle, this criterion will be met.

Goal/Objective	To formulate a strategic and effective career development plan				
Legends	PO - Program Objective (academic units);				
Standards/Outcomes	s				
	Identifier Description				
	Student SI.Student SI 1	Recruit, retain, and graduate outstanding students (undergraduate and graduate; traditional and nontraditional; transfer and returning adults).			
Assessment Measures	Assessment Measure	Criterion	Attachments		
	Direct - Written Assignment	Pilot Entrance Essay as a career plan data-gathering tool. Compare student entrance career plans with their exit career plans, as reported in the senior exit essays, to help determine effectiveness of career planning during advising. Entrance essays are completed when students request an evaluation of their credits. Directions for the essay are, "Write an essay (250 words) answering the following questions: What is your story? Why are you interested in University College? What influences/circumstances/events led you here? How do you plan to use your Bachelor of General Studies degree?" Graduation essays are required as part of the regular graduation checkout procedure. Directions for the essay are as follows:			

Т		11/11/11	1 -1
		Write a 500-word essay describing your journey in college. How would you describe your experiences in University College? Did you find that your experiences in University College were unique and how so? What suggestions do you have for improvement? Please give specific examples of how we helped you. Please give specific examples of how we can improve our services. What are your plans and goals for after graduation? How has University College helped you to identify those goals? How did we help you prepare for success in your chosen profession? This criterion will be evaluated by comparing student entrance and exit career plans. Establishment of a baseline is planned for this reporting period.	
	Direct - Written Assignment	Measure career plans of graduating seniors using the Graduation Essay. Graduation essays are required as part of the regular graduation checkout procedure. Directions for the essay are as follows: Write a 500-word essay describing your journey in college. How would you describe your experiences in University College? Did you find that your experiences in University College were unique and how so? What suggestions do you have for improvement? Please give specific examples of how we helped you. Please give specific examples of how we can improve our services. What are your plans and goals for after graduation? How has University College helped you to identify those goals? How did we help you prepare for success in your chosen profession? This criterion will be met when at least 75% of graduating seniors will have post-baccalaureate career or educational plans, as reported in the graduation essay.	
	Indirect - Survey - students	Service quality is measured via the college-generated Senior Exit Survey, which is completed by graduating seniors on Moodle, the University's course management system. The	

Senior Exit survey is used to gather data regarding student career plans, and to assess student perception of the usefulness of their General Studies program in preparing them for life after graduation. Questions include: "Have you applied for admission to a graduate/professional school or program?" "Have you been accepted for admission to a graduate/professional school or program?" "If you are not planning to attend a graduate/professional school immediately, please describe your plans following graduation." Other questions are rated using a 5-point scale (Strongly Agree, Agree, Neutral, Disagree, Strongly Disagree), such as, "My experiences in University College have improved my skills for understanding and using career information," "My experiences in University College have improved my awareness of the career planning process," This criterion will be met when at least 75% of graduating seniors "Strongly Agree" or "Agree" that their experiences in University College improved their awareness of the career planning process.

Results & Improvements (due 9/15/17)

Results and Improvement Narratives

Assessment List Findings for the Assessment Measure level for To skillfully express ideas and information.

Goal/Objective	To skillfully express ideas and information.			
Legends	SLO - Student Learning Outcome/Objective (academic units);			
Standards/Out comes				
	Identifier Description			
	Student SI 1 Recruit, retain, and graduate outstanding students (undergraduate and graduate; traditional and			

		nontraditional; transfer and returning adults).
Assessment Measures		
	Assessment Measure	Criterion
	Direct - Written Assignment	Students in University College are required to successfully complete an advanced writing course in order to assure their ability to skillfully express ideas and information. As a measure of written expression of ideas and information, graduating seniors are required to write an essay as part of the regular graduation checkout procedure in the college. Directions for the essay are as follows: Write a 500-word essay describing your journey in college. How would you describe your experiences in University College? Did you find that your experiences in University College were unique and how so? What suggestions do you have for improvement? Please give specific examples of how we helped you. Please give specific examples of how we can improve our services. What are your plans and goals for after graduation? How has University College helped you to identify those goals? How did we help you prepare for success in your chosen profession? Printed essays are submitted during the graduation checkout advising appointment, and are uploaded to the University College graduation checkout Moodle course. Essays are scored using the college-created Writing Assessment Evaluation rubric which measures grammar, structure, essay organization, overall content, and critical thinking. This criterion will be met when at least 75% of graduating seniors submit essays judged as "Exemplary," "Meets," or "Exceeds" Expectations.
	Direct - Pre/Post Test	Students in University College are required to successfully complete an advanced writing course in order to assure their ability to skillfully express ideas and information. Effectiveness of this requirement is measured by comparing student entrance essay scores with their graduation essay scores. Entrance essays are completed when students request an evaluation of their credits. Directions for the essay are, "Write an essay (250 words) answering the following questions: What is your story? Why are you interested in University College? What influences/circumstances/events led you here? How do you plan to use your Bachelor of General Studies degree?" Entrance essays are scored using the college-created Writing Assessment Evaluation rubric which measures grammar, structure, essay organization, overall content, and critical thinking. Graduation essays are required as part of the regular graduation checkout procedure. Directions for the essay are as follows: Write a 500-word essay describing

your journey in college. How would you describe your experiences in University College? Did you find that your experiences in University College were unique and how so? What suggestions do you have for improvement? Please give specific examples of how we helped you. Please give specific examples of how we can improve our services. What are your plans and goals for after graduation? How has University College helped you to identify those goals? How did we help you prepare for success in your chosen profession? Essays are scored using the college-created Writing Assessment Evaluation rubric which measures grammar, structure, essay organization, overall content, and critical thinking. Upon graduation, writing assessment scores of students who have completed both the entrance essay and the graduation essay will be compared in order to measure improvement, and thereby determine the effectiveness of the advanced writing course requirement. Effectiveness of this requirement will be determined to have been met when 75% of graduating students have improved their scores.

Indirect - Exit Interviews

Oral expression of ideas is measured by comparing scores from the oral assessment completed during student's initial entrance and their final exit meetings. The oral assessment was adapted from The Conversational Skills Rating Scale: An Instructional Assessment of Interpersonal Competence by Brian H. Spitzberg (1995), and from the Carnegie Mellon, Tepper School of Business, Center for Business Communication, Communication Audit: Listening Effectively by Thomas Hadjuk (2009). The oral assessment measures both verbal and non-verbal indicators, such as: speaking rate, speaking fluency, vocal confidence, articulation, vocal variety, volume, asking of questions, speaking about self, personal opinion expression, maintenance of topics and follow-up comments, interruption of speaking turns, making smooth transitions between listening and responding roles, posture, lean toward the other person, shaking or nervous twitches, unmotivated movements, facial expressiveness, use of gestures to emphasize what is being said, smiling and/or laughing, use of eye contact, nodding of head in response to statements, side conversations with others, and gestures that suggest boredom. To assure consistency, raters follow the University College Oral Assessment Guide. which is also adapted from the work of Spitzberg (1995) and Hadjuk (2009). Students whose overall entrance scores fall within the "Inadequate" range on the oral assessment, are encouraged to complete Communication courses in order to improve their oral expression. Improvement is measured by comparing the entrance and exit scores. This criterion is met when 75% of students' scores increase from "Inadequate" to "Adequate." "Good." or "Excellent."

				Ι.
Assess ment Measure	Criterion	Summary	Attachme nts of the Assessm ents	Improve ment Narrative s
Direct - Written Assignm ent	Has the criterion Students in University College are required to successfully complete an advanced writing course in order to assure their ability to skillfully express ideas and information. As a measure of written expression of ideas and information, graduating seniors are required to write an essay as part of the regular graduation checkout procedure in the college. Directions for the essay are as follows: Write a 500- word essay describing your journey in college. How would you describe your experiences in University College? Did you find that your experiences in University College were unique and how so? What suggestions do you have for improvement? Please give specific examples of how we helped you. Please give specific examples of how we can improve our services. What are your plans and goals for after graduation? How has University College helped you to identify those goals? How did we help you prepare for success in	A total of two hundred forty-eight (n=248) Exit Essays submitted by graduating seniors were selected for evaluation. The essays were analyzed using the Writing Assessment Evaluation rubric. Overall, almost ninety-eight percent (97.98%, n=243) of the essays were judged to be Exemplary, Exceeds Expectations, or Meets Expectations, or Meets Expectations; of those, 37.5% (n=93) were judged to be Exemplary, 53.2% (n=132) were judged to Exceed Expectations, and 7.3% (n=18) Met Expectations. Of the two hundred		- Assessm ent Process: Measures changed: In order to assess inter-rater reliability, a 25% of graduatio n essays will be scored by more than one rater.

your chosen profession? Printed essays are submitted during the graduation checkout advising appointment, and are uploaded to the University College graduation checkout Moodle course. Essays are scored using the college-created Writing Assessment Evaluation rubric which measures grammar, structure, essay organization, overall content, and critical thinking. This criterion will be met when at least 75% of graduating seniors submit essays judged as "Exemplary," "Meets," or "Exceeds" Expectations. been met yet? Met

forty-eight essays, four essays (1.6%)Almost Met Expectations, and only one (n=1) Did Not Meet Expectations (0.4%). The two hundred forty-eight (n=248)essay scores were also averaged by category using the Writing Assessment Evaluation rubric. Grammar scores averaged 3.1 (2-3 errors), which Meets Expectations. Spelling averaged 3.7 (Exceeds Expectations) . Language scores averaged 5.8, exceeding the Adequate rating score of four (4) points. The two hundred forty-eight (n=248)essavs average Paragraph score was above Adequate (2) points), with

Direct - Pre/Post Test	Has the criterion Students in University College are required to successfully complete an advanced writing course in order to	an average score of 2.5. The score for essay length also exceeded Adequate (2points), with an average score of 2.3, for the two hundred forty-eight essays evaluated. Regarding organization, the essays were judged to be organized (3.8), and Overall Content scores were assessed as Appropriate (3.8 average score). In summary, 97.98% of overall scores were judged to be Exemplary, Exceeds or Meets Expectations, which exceeds the 75% target. During this reporting period, University College continued	- Assessm ent Process: Data Collection
Test	successfully complete an advanced writing	University College	Process: Data

requirement is measured by comparing student entrance essay scores with their graduation essay scores. Entrance essays are completed when students request an evaluation of their credits. Directions for the essay are, "Write an essay (250 words) answering the following questions: What is your story? Why are you interested in University College? What influences/circumstanc es/events led you here? How do you plan to use your Bachelor of **General Studies** degree?" Entrance essays are scored using the collegecreated Writing Assessment Evaluation rubric which measures grammar, structure, essay organization, overall content, and critical thinking. Graduation essays are required as part of the regular graduation checkout procedure. Directions for the essay are as follows: Write a 500word essay describing your journey in college. How would you describe your experiences in University College? Did you find that your experiences in University College were unique and how so? What suggestions

they requested transcript evaluations. prior to changing their majors. The essays were used to make a pre/postwriting course comparison of grammar/stru cture. organization, overall content, and critical thinking in written communicati on. Essays were scored using the Writing Assessment Evaluation Rubric. Within the reporting period, one hundred and seventeen (n=117)students completed both an entrance and exit essay, and all of them (100.00%, n = 117) were selected for a comparison. Exit essay overall scores increased

consisten СУ reliability arose due to the difference between the formats of the Entrance and Exit essays. Various improvem ent options were discussed , such as, changing the Exit essay to a 250word, handwritten essay format, or changing the entrance essay to typewritte n. After discussio n of how we are measurin g this goal, and whether the entrance and exit essays are measurin g the same thing (i.e.

do you have for improvement? Please give specific examples of how we helped you. Please give specific examples of how we can improve our services. What are your plans and goals for after graduation? How has University College helped you to identify those goals? How did we help you prepare for success in your chosen profession? Essays are scored using the college-created Writing Assessment Evaluation rubric which measures grammar, structure, essay organization, overall content, and critical thinking. Upon graduation, writing assessment scores of students who have completed both the entrance essay and the graduation essay will be compared in order to measure improvement, and thereby determine the effectiveness of the advanced writing course requirement. Effectiveness of this requirement will be determined to have been met when 75% of graduating students have improved their scores. been met vet? Not met

1.46 points from an average total score of 29.91 at entrance, to an average total score of 31.37 at exit, which is within the Exceeds **Expectations** range of 29 to 32 total points. Of the total 117 essays, one hundred, thirteen Exit scores (n=113,96.6%) fell between Exemplary (33 points or more. n = 35. 29.9%). Exceeds (from 29 to 32 points, n =73, 62.4%), and Meets (25 to 28 points, n = 5, 4.3%). In comparison, Entrance essay scores from the selected sample (n=117), had eighty-three (n = 83,70.9%) that were within the Exemplary (n = 24, 20.5%),plus Exceeds (n = 58,

internal consisten CV reliability), we changed the entrance essay method of collection. in that students will be typing their Entrance Essays. In addition, we are researchi reliable replacem ents for the Writing Assessm ent Evaluatio n, in order address the concern regarding the broad descriptor s in each category of the evaluatio n.

49.6%), and Meets (n = 1, 0.9%) range. Entrance	
0.9%) range.	
0.9%) range.	
essays	
included five	
(n=5, 4.3%)	
that were	
judged to fall	
in the Almost	
Meets	
category.	
Four (n = 4)	
of those	
students	
completed	
both an	
Entrance and	
Exit essay.	
Their total	
Exit scores	
moved from	
23.25	
(Almost	
Meets	
Expectations)	
to 26.75	
(Meets	
Expectations)	
. None of the	
Entrance or	
Exit essay	
scores of the	
students	
completing	
both an	
entrance and	
exit essay	
Did Not Meet	
Expectations	
(21 points or	
less). On	
average,	
overall	
scores	
increased,	
which might	
indicate that	
requiring	
students to	
complete an	
advanced	

	T		1
		writing course results in an improvement in their grammar/stru cture, organization, overall content. However, only seventy-one (n=71, 60.68%) of the total 117 students improved their scores.	
Indirect - Exit Interview s	Has the criterion Oral expression of ideas is measured by comparing scores from the oral assessment completed during student's initial entrance and their final exit meetings. The oral assessment was adapted from The Conversational Skills Rating Scale: An Instructional Assessment of Interpersonal Competence by Brian H. Spitzberg (1995), and from the Carnegie Mellon, Tepper School of Business, Center for Business Communication, Communication Audit: Listening Effectively by Thomas Hadjuk (2009). The oral assessment measures both verbal and nonverbal indicators, such as: speaking fluency, vocal confidence, articulation, vocal	Oral expression of ideas is measured by comparing scores from the University College Oral Assessment completed during student's initial entrance and their final exit meetings. Students whose overall entrance scores fall within the "Inadequate" range on the oral assessment are encouraged to complete Communicati on courses in order to improve their oral	Assessm ent Process: Continuo us monitorin g: Although results were positive for this monitorin g period, the small sample size of students assessed at both entrance and exit, indicates a need to continue data collection, and revisit this measure when there is a larger

variety, volume, asking expression. data of questions, speaking Improvement sample. about self, personal is measured opinion expression. by comparing maintenance of topics the entrance and exit and follow-up comments, interruption scores. This of speaking turns, criterion is making smooth met when transitions between 75% of listening and students' responding roles, scores posture, lean toward increase from "Inadequate" the other person, shaking or nervous twitches, unmotivated "Adequate." movements, facial "Good," or "Excellent." A expressiveness, use of gestures to emphasize sample of what is being said, two hundred smiling and/or and thirtylaughing, use of eye three (n=233) contact, nodding of students head in response to assessed for statements, side their Oral conversations with Expression of others, and gestures Ideas during that suggest boredom. graduation To assure consistency, checkout raters follow the advising, University College Oral were Assessment Guide, selected for which is also adapted evaluation from the work of during this Spitzberg (1995) and reporting Hadjuk (2009). period. Students whose overall Regarding entrance scores fall overall within the "Inadequate" scores, of the range on the oral students assessment, are assessed encouraged to (n=233), one complete hundred and Communication seven courses in order to (n=107,improve their oral 45.92%) expression. were rated as Improvement is "Excellent," measured by ninety-four comparing the (n=94,40.34%) entrance and exit scores. This criterion is achieved a

met when 75% of students' scores increase from "Good," and twenty-eight (n=28, 120%) were rated as "Adequate." Only one student (n=1, 43%) was assessed to fall into the "Fair" range, and three (n=3, 1.29%) were ranked "Inadequate." Of the two hundred and thirty-three (n=233) students in the sample, only three (n=3) completed the Oral Assessment at both entrance and final exit meetings. At entrance, all three students were rated at the "Good" level; however, at exit, two of the students (n=2, 66, 67%) achieved a rating of "Excellent," and one student maintained a "Good' ranking (n=1, 33, 33%).			1 1
increase from "Inadequate" to "Adequate," "Good," or "Excellent." been met yet? Met Met Wethy-eight (n=28, 12.02%) were rated as "Adequate." Only one student (n=1, 43%) was assessed to fall into the "Fair" range, and three (n=3, 1.29%) were ranked "Inadequate." Of the two hundred and thirty-three (n=233) students in the sample, only three (n=3) completed the Oral Assessment at both entrance and final exit meetings. At entrance, all three students were rated at the "Good" level; however, at exit, two of the students (n=2, 66.67%) achieved a rating of "Excellent," and one student maintained a "Good" ranking (n=1,			
"Inadequate" to "Adequate," "Good," or "Excellent." been met yet? Met Met (n=28, 12.02%) were rated as "Adequate." Only one student (n=1, .43%) was assessed to fall into the "Fair" range, and three (n=3, 1.29%) were ranked "Inadequate." Of the two hundred and thirty-three (n=233) students in the sample, only three (n=3) completed the Oral Assessment at both entrance and final exit meetings. At entrance, all three students were rated at the "Good" level; however, at exit, two of the students (n=2, 66.67%) achieved a rating of "Excellent," and one student maintained a "Good" ranking (n=1,	students' scores	"Good," and	
"Inadequate" to "Adequate," "Good," or "Excellent." been met yet? Met Met (n=28, 12.02%) were rated as "Adequate." Only one student (n=1, 43%) was assessed to fall into the "Fair" range, and three (n=3, 1.29%) were ranked "Inadequate." Of the two hundred and thirty-three (n=233) students in the sample, only three (n=3) completed the Oral Assessment at both entrance and final exit meetings. At entrance, all three students were rated at the "Good" level; however, at exit, two of the students (n=2, 66.67%) achieved a rating of "Excellent," and one student maintained a "Good" ranking (n=1,	increase from	twenty-eight	
"Adequate," "Good," or "Excellent." been met yet? Met Met Met Met Met Met Met	"Inadequate" to		
"Excellent." been met yet? Met Met Met Met Met Met Met			
yet? Met Only one Student (n=1, 43%) was assessed to fall into the "Fair' range, and three (n=3, 1,29%) were ranked "Inadequate." Of the two hundred and thirty-three (n=233) students in the sample, only three (n=3) completed the Oral Assessment at both entrance and final exit meetings. At entrance, all three students were rated at the "Good" level; however, at exit, two of the students (n=2, 66.67%) achieved a rating of "Excellent," and one student maintained a "Good" ranking (n=1,			
Met Only one student (n=1, .43%) was assessed to fall into the "Fair" range, and three (n=3, 1.29%) were ranked "Inadequate." Of the two hundred and thirty-three (n=233) students in the sample, only three (n=3) completed the Oral Assessment at both entrance and final exit meetings. At entrance, all three students were rated at the "Good" level; however, at exit, two of the students (n=2, 66.67%) achieved a rating of "Excellent," and one student maintained a "Good" level; ranking (n=1, final fair fair final fair fair final fair final fair fair fair fair fair fair fair fair			
student (n=1, .43%) was assessed to fall into the "Fair" range, and three (n=3, 1,29%) were ranked "Inadequate." Of the two hundred and thirty-three (n=233) students in the sample, only three (n=3) completed the Oral Assessment at both entrance and final exit meetings. At entrance, all three students were rated at the "Good" level; however, at exit, two of the students (n=2, 66.67%) achieved a rating of "Excellent," and one student maintained a "Good" ranking (n=1,			
assessed to fall into the "Fair" range, and three (n=3, 1.29%) were ranked "Inadequate." Of the two hundred and thirty-three (n=233) students in the sample, only three (n=3) completed the Oral Assessment at both entrance and final exit meetings. At entrance, all three students were rated at the "Good" level; however, at exit, two of the students (n=2, 66.67%) achieved a rating of "Excellent," and one student maintained a "Good" ranking (n=1,	Met		
assessed to fall into the "Fair" range, and three (n=3, 1.29%) were ranked "Inadequate." Of the two hundred and thirty-three (n=233) students in the sample, only three (n=3) completed the Oral Assessment at both entrance and final exit meetings. At entrance, all three students were rated at the "Good" level; however, at exit, two of the students (n=2, 66.67%) achieved a rating of "Excellent," and one student maintained a "Good" ranking (n=1,		student (n=1,	
assessed to fall into the "Fair" range, and three (n=3, 1.29%) were ranked "Inadequate." Of the two hundred and thirty-three (n=233) students in the sample, only three (n=3) completed the Oral Assessment at both entrance and final exit meetings. At entrance, all three students were rated at the "Good" level; however, at exit, two of the students (n=2, 66.67%) achieved a rating of "Excellent," and one student maintained a "Good" ranking (n=1,		.43%) was	
fall into the "Fair' range, and three (n=3, 1.29%) were ranked "Inadequate." Of the two hundred and thirty-three (n=233) students in the sample, only three (n=3) completed the Oral Assessment at both entrance and final exit meetings. At entrance, all three students were rated at the "Good" level; however, at exit, two of the students (n=2, 66.67%) achieved a rating of "Excellent," and one student maintained a "Good" ranking (n=1,			
"Fair" range, and three (n=3, 1.29%) were ranked "Inadequate." Of the two hundred and thirty-three (n=233) students in the sample, only three (n=3) completed the Oral Assessment at both entrance and final exit meetings. At entrance, all three students were rated at the "Good" level; however, at exit, two of the students (n=2, 66.67%) achieved a rating of "Excellent," and one student maintained a "Good" (ranking (n=1,			
and three (n=3, 1.29%) were ranked "Inadequate." Of the two hundred and thirty-three (n=233) students in the sample, only three (n=3) completed the Oral Assessment at both entrance and final exit meetings. At entrance, all three students were rated at the "Good" level; however, at exit, two of the students (n=2, 66.67%) achieved a rating of "Excellent," and one student maintained a "Good" ranking (n=1,			
(n=3, 1.29%) were ranked "Inadequate." Of the two hundred and thirty-three (n=233) students in the sample, only three (n=3) completed the Oral Assessment at both entrance and final exit meetings. At entrance, all three students were rated at the "Good" level; however, at exit, two of the students (n=2, 66.67%) achieved a rating of "Excellent," and one student maintained a "Good" ranking (n=1,			
were ranked "Inadequate." Of the two hundred and thirty-three (n=233) students in the sample, only three (n=3) completed the Oral Assessment at both entrance and final exit meetings. At entrance, all three students were rated at the "Good" level; however, at exit, two of the students (n=2, 66.67%) achieved a rating of "Excellent," and one student maintained a "Good" ranking (n=1,			
"Inadequate." Of the two hundred and thirty-three (n=233) students in the sample, only three (n=3) completed the Oral Assessment at both entrance and final exit meetings. At entrance, all three students were rated at the "Good" level; however, at exit, two of the students (n=2, 66.67%) achieved a rating of "Excellent," and one student maintained a "Good" ranking (n=1,			
Of the two hundred and thirty-three (n=233) students in the sample, only three (n=3) completed the Oral Assessment at both entrance and final exit meetings. At entrance, all three students were rated at the "Good" level; however, at exit, two of the students (n=2, 66.67%) achieved a rating of "Excellent," and one student maintained a "Good" ranking (n=1,		were ranked	
Of the two hundred and thirty-three (n=233) students in the sample, only three (n=3) completed the Oral Assessment at both entrance and final exit meetings. At entrance, all three students were rated at the "Good" level; however, at exit, two of the students (n=2, 66.67%) achieved a rating of "Excellent," and one student maintained a "Good" ranking (n=1,		"Inadequate."	
hundred and thirty-three (n=233) students in the sample, only three (n=3) completed the Oral Assessment at both entrance and final exit meetings. At entrance, all three students were rated at the "Good" level; however, at exit, two of the students (n=2, 66.67%) achieved a rating of "Excellent," and one student maintained a "Good" ranking (n=1,			
thirty-three (n=233) students in the sample, only three (n=3) completed the Oral Assessment at both entrance and final exit meetings. At entrance, all three students were rated at the "Good" level; however, at exit, two of the students (n=2, 66.67%) achieved a rating of "Excellent," and one student maintained a "Good" ranking (n=1,			
(n=233) students in the sample, only three (n=3) completed the Oral Assessment at both entrance and final exit meetings. At entrance, all three students were rated at the "Good" level; however, at exit, two of the students (n=2, 66.67%) achieved a rating of "Excellent," and one student maintained a "Good" ranking (n=1,			
students in the sample, only three (n=3) completed the Oral Assessment at both entrance and final exit meetings. At entrance, all three students were rated at the "Good" level; however, at exit, two of the students (n=2, 66.67%) achieved a rating of "Excellent," and one student maintained a "Good" ranking (n=1,			
the sample, only three (n=3) completed the Oral Assessment at both entrance and final exit meetings. At entrance, all three students were rated at the "Good" level; however, at exit, two of the students (n=2, 66.67%) achieved a rating of "Excellent," and one student maintained a "Good" ranking (n=1,			
only three (n=3) completed the Oral Assessment at both entrance and final exit meetings. At entrance, all three students were rated at the "Good" level; however, at exit, two of the students (n=2, 66.67%) achieved a rating of "Excellent," and one student maintained a "Good" ranking (n=1,			
(n=3) completed the Oral Assessment at both entrance and final exit meetings. At entrance, all three students were rated at the "Good" level; however, at exit, two of the students (n=2, 66.67%) achieved a rating of "Excellent," and one student maintained a "Good" ranking (n=1,			
completed the Oral Assessment at both entrance and final exit meetings. At entrance, all three students were rated at the "Good" level; however, at exit, two of the students (n=2, 66.67%) achieved a rating of "Excellent," and one student maintained a "Good" ranking (n=1,		only three	
the Oral Assessment at both entrance and final exit meetings. At entrance, all three students were rated at the "Good" level; however, at exit, two of the students (n=2, 66.67%) achieved a rating of "Excellent," and one student maintained a "Good" ranking (n=1,		(n=3)	
the Oral Assessment at both entrance and final exit meetings. At entrance, all three students were rated at the "Good" level; however, at exit, two of the students (n=2, 66.67%) achieved a rating of "Excellent," and one student maintained a "Good" ranking (n=1,		completed	
Assessment at both entrance and final exit meetings. At entrance, all three students were rated at the "Good" level; however, at exit, two of the students (n=2, 66.67%) achieved a rating of "Excellent," and one student maintained a "Good" ranking (n=1,			
at both entrance and final exit meetings. At entrance, all three students were rated at the "Good" level; however, at exit, two of the students (n=2, 66.67%) achieved a rating of "Excellent," and one student maintained a "Good" ranking (n=1,			
entrance and final exit meetings. At entrance, all three students were rated at the "Good" level; however, at exit, two of the students (n=2, 66.67%) achieved a rating of "Excellent," and one student maintained a "Good" ranking (n=1,			
final exit meetings. At entrance, all three students were rated at the "Good" level; however, at exit, two of the students (n=2, 66.67%) achieved a rating of "Excellent," and one student maintained a "Good" ranking (n=1,			
meetings. At entrance, all three students were rated at the "Good" level; however, at exit, two of the students (n=2, 66.67%) achieved a rating of "Excellent," and one student maintained a "Good" ranking (n=1,			
entrance, all three students were rated at the "Good" level; however, at exit, two of the students (n=2, 66.67%) achieved a rating of "Excellent," and one student maintained a "Good" ranking (n=1,			
three students were rated at the "Good" level; however, at exit, two of the students (n=2, 66.67%) achieved a rating of "Excellent," and one student maintained a "Good" ranking (n=1,			
students were rated at the "Good" level; however, at exit, two of the students (n=2, 66.67%) achieved a rating of "Excellent," and one student maintained a "Good" ranking (n=1,			
were rated at the "Good" level; however, at exit, two of the students (n=2, 66.67%) achieved a rating of "Excellent," and one student maintained a "Good" ranking (n=1,		three	
were rated at the "Good" level; however, at exit, two of the students (n=2, 66.67%) achieved a rating of "Excellent," and one student maintained a "Good" ranking (n=1,		students	
the "Good" level; however, at exit, two of the students (n=2, 66.67%) achieved a rating of "Excellent," and one student maintained a "Good" ranking (n=1,			
level; however, at exit, two of the students (n=2, 66.67%) achieved a rating of "Excellent," and one student maintained a "Good" ranking (n=1,			
however, at exit, two of the students (n=2, 66.67%) achieved a rating of "Excellent," and one student maintained a "Good" ranking (n=1,			
exit, two of the students (n=2, 66.67%) achieved a rating of "Excellent," and one student maintained a "Good" ranking (n=1,		· ·	
the students (n=2, 66.67%) achieved a rating of "Excellent," and one student maintained a "Good" ranking (n=1,			
(n=2, 66.67%) achieved a rating of "Excellent," and one student maintained a "Good" ranking (n=1,			
66.67%) achieved a rating of "Excellent," and one student maintained a "Good" ranking (n=1,			
achieved a rating of "Excellent," and one student maintained a "Good" ranking (n=1,			
rating of "Excellent," and one student maintained a "Good" ranking (n=1,			
"Excellent," and one student maintained a "Good" ranking (n=1,			
"Excellent," and one student maintained a "Good" ranking (n=1,		rating of	
and one student maintained a "Good" ranking (n=1,			
student maintained a "Good" ranking (n=1,			
maintained a "Good" ranking (n=1,			
"Good" ranking (n=1,			
ranking (n=1,			
33.33%).			
		33.33%).	

Because of the small number of students completing both the entrance and	
assessments , it is difficult to state that this criterion was met; however, preliminary results indicate that student's scores did tend to increase.	

Assessment List Findings for the Assessment Measure level for To use technology to organize and present ideas and information

Goal/Objective	To use technology to organize and present ideas and information			
Legends	SLO - Student Le	earning Outcome/Objective (academic units);		
Standards/Outcom es				
	Identifier	Description		
	Student SI.Student SI 1	Recruit, retain, and graduate outstanding students (undergraduate and graduate; traditional and nontraditional; transfer and returning adults).		
Assessment Measures				
	Assessment Criterion Measure			
	Direct - Written Assignment	In order to assure proficiency in the use of technology, students in University College are required to successfully complete at least one course in the use of computers. As a measure of success, graduating seniors are required to use a word processing program to type an essay as part of the regular graduation checkout procedure in the College. Printed essays are submitted during the graduation checkout advising appointment, and are also uploaded to Moodle, the		

	University's course management system. This criterion will be met when at least 75% of graduating seniors successfully upload their essay to Moodle, and also print and submit a copy of their essay for review.
Direct - Written Assignment	In order to assure proficiency in the use of technology, students in University College are required to successfully complete at least one course in the use of computers. As a measure of success, graduating seniors are required to complete a college-generated Senior Exit Survey on Moodle, the University's course management system. The Senior Exit Survey is a questionnaire used to gather data regarding student career plans, and to assess student perception of the quality of their General Studies program, and of its usefulness in preparing them for life after graduation. When at least 90% of graduating seniors successfully complete the Senior Exit Survey on Moodle, this criterion will be met.

Assessment Findings

Assessme nt Measure	Criterion	Summary	Attachment s of the Assessment s	Improveme nt Narratives
Direct - Written Assignment	Has the criterion In order to assure proficiency in the use of technology, students in University College are required to successfully complete at least one course in the use of computers. As a measure of success, graduating seniors are required to use a word processing	During this reporting period, three hundred and twenty-three (n=323) students were enrolled in the Grad Checkout 16-17 Moodle course. Of those enrolled, two hundred and seventy-seven (85.7%, n=277)		Assessment Process: Results Discussed / Shared: The need to continue to measure proficiency in the use of technology was discussed, and it was decided to continue.

Direct -	program to type an essay as part of the regular graduation checkout procedure in the College. Printed essays are submitted during the graduation checkout advising appointment , and are also uploaded to Moodle, the University's course manageme nt system. This criterion will be met when at least 75% of graduating seniors successfully upload their essay to Moodle, and also print and submit a copy of their essay for review. been met yet? Met	submitted essays on Moodle. Also during this reporting period, two hundred and fortynine students (77.09%, n=249) printed their essays and turned them in during their Graduation Checkout Advising appointmen t.	
Written Assignment	criterion In order to assure proficiency in the use of technology,	reporting period, three hundred and twenty-three	Assessment Process: Results Discussed / Shared: The need to

П	ational and the	(- 000)	t'
	students in	(n=323)	continue
	University	students	measure
	College are	were	proficiency
	required to	enrolled in	in the use of
	successfully	the Grad	technology
	complete at	Checkout	was
	least one	16-17	discussed,
	course in	Moodle	and it was
	the use of	course. Of	decided to
	computers.	those	continue.
	As a	enrolled,	
	measure of	two	
	success,	hundred	
	graduating	and ninety-	
	seniors are	two (90.4%,	
	required to	n=292)	
	complete a	responded	
	college-	to the	
	generated	Senior Exit	
	Senior Exit	Survey,	
	Survey on	therefore	
	Moodle, the	this	
		criterion	
	University's		
	course	was met.	
	manageme		
	nt system.		
	The Senior		
	Exit Survey		
	is a		
	questionnair		
	e used to		
	gather data		
	regarding		
	student		
	career		
	plans, and		
	to assess		
	student		
	perception		
	of the		
	quality of		
	their		
	General		
	Studies		
	program, and of its		
	usefulness		
	in preparing		
	them for life		
	after		
	graduation.		
	When at		
L		·	

least 90% of graduating seniors successfully complete the Senior Exit Survey on Moodle, this criterion will be met. been met yet? Met	

Assessment List Findings for the Assessment Measure level for To formulate a strategic and effective career development plan

Goal/Objec tive	To formulate a strategic and effective career development plan				
Legends	PO - Program Obj	ective (academic units);			
Standards/ Outcomes					
	Identifier	Description			
	Student SI.Student SI 1	Recruit, retain, and graduate outstanding students (undergraduate and graduate; traditional and nontraditional; transfer and returning adults).			
Assessme nt					
Measures	Assessment Measure	Criterion			
	Written Assignment	Pilot Entrance Essay as a career plan data-gathering tool. Compare student entrance career plans with their exit career plans, as reported in the senior exit essays, to help determine effectiveness of career planning during advising. Entrance essays are completed when students request an evaluation of their credits. Directions for the essay are, "Write an essay (250 words) answering the following questions: What is your story? Why are you interested in University College? What influences/circumstances/events led you here? How do you plan to use your Bachelor of General Studies degree?" Graduation essays are required as part of the regular graduation checkout procedure. Directions for the essay are as follows: Write a 500-word essay describing your journey in college. How would you describe your experiences in University College? Did you find that your experiences in University College were unique and how so? What suggestions do you			

Assessme nt Findings	Asses	Criter	ion	Summ	Attach ments	Improvement Narratives	
	Indirect Survey - students	-	essay. Service quality is measured via the college-generated Senior Exit Survey, which is completed by graduating seniors on Moodle, the University's course management system. The Senior Exit survey is used to gather data regarding student career plans, and to assess student perception of the usefulness of their General Studies program in preparing them for life after graduation. Questions include: "Have you applied for admission to a graduate/professional school or program?" "Have you been accepted for admission to a graduate/professional school immediately, please describe your plans following graduation." Other questions are rated using a 5-point scale (Strongly Agree, Agree, Neutral, Disagree, Strongly Disagree), such as, "My experiences in University College have improved my skills for understanding and using career information," "My experiences in University College have improved my awareness of the career planning process," This criterion will be met when at least 75% of graduating seniors "Strongly Agree" or "Agree" that their experiences in University College improved their awareness of the career planning process.				
	Direct - Written Assignm	nent	chosen profession? This criterion will be evaluated by comparing student entrance and exit career plans. Establishment of a baseline is planned for this reporting period Measure career plans of graduating seniors using the Graduation Essay. Graduation essays are required as part of the regular graduation checkout procedure. Directions for the essay are as follows: Write a 500-word essay describing you journey in college. How would you describe your experience University College? Did you find that your experiences in University College were unique and how so? What suggesting do you have for improvement? Please give specific examples how we helped you. Please give specific examples of how we can improve our services. What are your plans and goals for after graduation? How has University College helped you to identify those goals? How did we help you prepare for successing your chosen profession? This criterion will be met when a least 75% of graduating seniors will have post-baccalaureat career or educational plans, as reported in the graduation				
			we helped y improve ou graduation? those goals chosen pro	you. Pleas r services. ? How has s? How did fession? T	e give spe What are University we help y his criterio		

Measu re			of the Assess ments	
Direct - Written Assign ment	Has the criterion Pilot Entrance Essay as a career plan datagathering tool. Compare student entrance career plans with their exit career plans, as reported in the senior exit essays, to help determine effectiveness of career planning during advising. Entrance essays are completed when students request an evaluation of their credits. Directions for the essay are, "Write an essay (250 words) answering the following questions: What is your story? Why are you interested in University College? What influences/circum stances/events led you here? How do you plan to use your Bachelor of General Studies degree?" Graduation essays are required as part of the regular graduation checkout procedure.	During this reportin g period, a sample of one hundre d and sixteen (n=116) graduat ing seniors comple ting both entranc e and exit survey s were compared. Of those, one hundre d and nine (n=109, 93.97%) of their exit essays include d post baccal aureate career or educati on plans. In compar	ments	- Assessment Process: Measures changed: In order to improve efficiency and reliability of data collection, the Evaluation of Credits Form was revised to include the question, "Have you decided what you will do after graduation? No Yes." Also the directions for the graduation exit essay were changed to read: "Write a 500-word essay describing your journey in college. Reflect on your time between your entrance to the Bachelor of General Studies program in University College and now, and discuss whether you changed your career or educational plans or goals. If so, in what way have they changed? Did the University College staff help you with your decision? How did we help you prepare for success in your chosen profession? Overall, how would you describe your experiences as a student in University College? What suggestions do you have for improvement? Did you find that your experiences in University College were unique, and how so? Please give specific examples of how we helped you, and how we can improve our services."

	Directions for the	ison,	
	essay are as	ninety-	
	follows: Write a	six	
		_	
	500-word essay	(n=96,	
	describing your	82.76%	
	journey in)	
	college. How	entranc	
	would you	е	
	describe your	essays	
	-	contain	
	experiences in		
	University	ed	
	College? Did you	post-	
	find that your	graduat	
	experiences in	ion	
	University	career	
	College were	or	
	unique and how	educati	
	so? What	onal	
	suggestions do	goals.	
	you have for	These	
	improvement?	results	
	Please give	indicat	
	specific	e that	
	examples of how	11.21%	
	we helped you.	(n=13)	
		of	
	Please give		
	specific	student	
	examples of how	S	
	we can improve	enterin	
	our services.	g	
	What are your	Univers	
	plans and goals	ity	
	for after	College	
	graduation? How	without	
	. •		
	has University	career	
	College helped	or	
	you to identify	educati	
	those goals?	onal	
	How did we help	plans	
	you prepare for	may	
	success in your	have	
	chosen	gained	
	profession? This	a	
	criterion will be	-	
		career	
	evaluated by	or	
	comparing	educati	
	student entrance	onal	
	and exit career	goal by	
	plans.	graduat	
	Establishment of	ion.	
	a baseline is	-	
	planned for this		
	pianneu ioi tins		

reporting period. been met yet? Met		
Direct - Measure career Written Assign graduating seniors using the Graduation Essay. Graduation essays are required as part of the regular graduation checkout procedure. Directions for the essay are as follows: Write a 500-word essay describing your journey in college. How would you describe your experiences in University College? Did you find that your experiences in University College were unique and how so? What suggestions do you have for improvement? Please give specific examples of how we helped you. Please give specific examples of how we can improve our services. What are your plans and goals for after graduation? How has University	A sample of two hundre d and twenty-nine graduat ion essays (n=229) were examin ed during this reportin g period. Of those, two hundre d and nine (n=209, 91.27%) student s reporte d that they had post-baccal aureate career or educati onal plans.	- Assessment Process: Measures changed: Graduation essay directions were changed to read: "Write a 500-word essay describing your journey in college. Reflect on your time between your entrance to the Bachelor of General Studies program in University College and now, and discuss whether you changed your career or educational plans or goals. If so, in what way have they changed? Did the University College staff help you with your decision? How did we help you prepare for success in your chosen profession? Overall, how would you describe your experiences as a student in University College? What suggestions do you have for improvement? Did you find that your experiences in University College were unique, and how so? Please give specific examples of how we helped you, and how we can improve our services."

	College helped you to identify those goals? How did we help you prepare for success in your chosen profession? This criterion will be met when at least 75% of graduating seniors will have post-baccalaureate career or educational plans, as reported in the graduation essay. been met yet? Met		
Indirec t - Survey - studen ts	Has the criterion Service quality is measured via the college- generated Senior Exit Survey, which is completed by graduating seniors on Moodle, the University's course management system. The Senior Exit survey is used to gather data regarding student career plans, and to assess student perception of the usefulness of their General Studies program in preparing them for life after graduation. Questions	During this reportin g period, two hundre d and ninety-two (n=292) student s comple ted the Senior Exit Survey on Moodle . Of those respon ding, two hundre d and thirty-	- Assessment Process: Results Discussed / Shared: The Senior Exit Survey was revised to delete questions 9 through 18, and add "Have you decided what you will do after graduation? No Yes If yes, what are your plans? Continue in my current career Obtain a certification Enter graduate school Other Please Explain:"

г		I		T
		include: "Have	nine	
		you applied for	(n=239	
		admission to a	,	
		graduate/professi	81.8%)	
		onal school or	either	
		program?" "Have	Strongl	
		you been	y	
		accepted for	Agreed	
		admission to a	(n=113	
		graduate/professi	, 39%)	
		onal school or	or	
		program?" "If you	Agreed	
		are not planning	(n=126	
		to attend a	, 43%),	
		graduate/professi	that	
		onal school	their	
		immediately,	experie	
		please describe	nces in	
		your plans	Univers	
		following	ity	
		graduation."	College	
		Other questions	improv	
		are rated using a	ed their	
		5-point scale	awaren	
		(Strongly Agree,	ess of	
		Agree, Neutral,	the	
		Disagree,	career	
		Strongly	plannin	
		Disagree), such	g	
		as, "My	proces	
		experiences in	S.	
			5.	
		University		
		College have		
		improved my		
		skills for		
		understanding		
		and using career		
		information," "My		
		experiences in		
		University		
		College have		
		improved my		
		awareness of the		
		career planning		
		process," This		
		criterion will be		
		met when at		
		least 75% of		
		graduating		
		seniors "Strongly		
		Agree" or "Agree"		
		that their		
	_1			L

experiences in University College improved their awareness of the career planning process. been met yet? Met		

Reflection (Due 9/15/17)

Reflection

1) How were assessment results shared in the unit?

Please select all that apply. If "other", please use the text box to elaborate. Distributed via email (selected)

Presented formally at staff / department / committee meetings (selected)

Discussed informally (selected)

Other (explain in text box below)

2) How frequently were assessment results shared in the unit?

Frequently (>4 times per cycle)
Periodically (2-4 times per cycle) (selected)
Once per cycle
Results were not shared this cycle

3) With whom were assessment results shared?

Please select all that apply.

Department Head
Dean / Asst. or Assoc. Dean (selected)
Departmental assessment committee
Other faculty / staff (selected)

4) What were the measurable or perceivable effects on your current (2016-2017) findings based on prior action plans (created in 2015-2016)?

The Oral assessment was introduced and preliminary results compiled. Entrance essay scores were computed and were compared with exit essay scores. Per the 2015-2016 action plan, the need for improvements to the Writing Assessment Evaluation were discussed, and will be continued in order to find a reliable replacement.

5) What has the unit learned from the current assessment cycle?

For the most part across measures, all goals were met, with the exception of the entrance versus exit essay comparison. After discussion of how we are measuring this goal, and whether the entrance and exit essays are measuring the same thing (i.e., internal consistency reliability), we changed the entrance essay method of collection. We are researching reliable replacements for the Writing Assessment Evaluation, and students will be typing their Entrance Essay in order to improve consistency of measures.

Attachments

Attachments

Upload any supporting documents related to your assessment plans, results, or improvements. Documents may include rubrics, survey questions, reports, etc. There is no limit to the number of documents you can upload.

Click "Select File" to upload document(s)